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Introduction and Overview

Annual Review Workshop for SONGS Wetland Mitigation

May 9, 2016

SONGS Mitigation Monitoring Project
Marine Science Institute, University of California Santa Barbara
Some background is important for understanding the purpose and rationale for the SONGS wetland mitigation project.

The SONGS reactors were cooled by a single pass seawater system.

Units 2 and 3 have separate intake lines located in about 30 feet of water offshore of the power plant.

Power plant heated cooling water and turbulence kills fish eggs, larvae and small immature fish.

SONGS operations projected to cause substantial reductions in populations of adult nearshore fish in the Southern California Bight.

Construction of Units 2 and 3 was found to be consistent with the Coastal Act only if these significant adverse impacts to fish would be mitigated.
The California Coastal Act requires the mitigation of impacts to the marine environment.

Enforcement of the Coastal Act resides with the California Coastal Commission (CCC).

As mitigation for the impacts to larval and juvenile fish caused by SONGS the CCC required SCE to:

- Create or substantially restore a minimum of 150 acres of wetlands, excluding buffer zone and upland transition area.
- Provide funding for scientific oversight and monitoring of the restoration project that is *independent* of SCE.

- The California Coastal Act requires the mitigation of impacts to the marine environment.
- Enforcement of the Coastal Act resides with the California Coastal Commission (CCC).
- As mitigation for the impacts to larval and juvenile fish caused by SONGS the CCC required SCE to:
  - Create or substantially restore a minimum of 150 acres of wetlands, excluding buffer zone and upland transition area.
  - Provide funding for scientific oversight and monitoring of the restoration project that is *independent* of SCE.
To summarize key elements of the SONGS Wetland Mitigation Project:

• Out-of-kind compensation for in-plant losses of larval and juvenile fish caused by the operation of SONGS Units 2 & 3.

• Physical and biological standards were established to evaluate the performance of the wetland restoration project.

• One year of mitigation credit is given for each year that the San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration Project meets the performance standards.

• Fulfillment of the SONGS wetland mitigation requirement occurs when the number of years of mitigation credit accrued by the San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration Project equals the total years of operation of SONGS Units 2 & 3, including the decommissioning period to the extent that there are continuing discharges.

• Independent monitoring of project performance is done by university scientists from UCSB who report to the CCC and not SCE.
This slide provides a timetable of SONGS operations.

Operations of SONGS Units 2 and 3 were suspended in January 2012 due to premature wear of replacement steam generators.

SCE decided to permanently cease power operations in June 2013

SCE’s operating license has been modified to “possession only” and they are no longer authorized to operate the reactors.
• Under normal operating conditions the flow rate of the cooling water systems of each Unit is about 1300 million gallons per day,
• This amounts to about 2.6 billion gallons a day for both units.
• Since the shutdown, the flow in each unit has been reduced to about 49 million gallons a day or roughly 4% of the normal operating flow.
• Marine impacts caused by SONGS cooling water system are thus expectedly much less under the current flow conditions.
This map shows the locations of SONGS, the impact site, the San Dieguito Lagoon, site of the San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration Project, and 3 wetlands that are used as reference sites to evaluate the performance of the restoration project: Carpinteria Salt Marsh, Mugu Lagoon, and Tijuana Estuary.
This slide reviews the San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration design that was approved by the CCC.

For reference, the restoration project lies to the south and east of the Del Mar Racetrack.

You can also see the location of the San Dieguito River, inlet to the Pacific Ocean, and I5 Freeway.

The project included excavation and grading to tidal elevations capable of supporting tidal salt marsh, indicated by shades of green, mudflat, indicated by the light brown, and subtidal habitats, indicated by blue.

In addition, 4 nesting sites, shown in gray, were constructed, which are not part of the SONGS mitigation requirement.

The areas in pink are disposal sites that received the majority of the 2.2 million cubic yards of material excavated during construction of the wetland.

The yellow boxes that indicate Areas 1, 2a, 2b, and 3 pertain to the staging of construction activities.
This slide summarizes the construction timeline.

- Construction began in September of 2006.
- Wetland construction was organized by area and module -- most excavation and grading was completed by 2008, with the addition of tidal creeks in W2/3 completed in November 2010, and re-grading of this area to lower elevations in March 2014.
- Planting of salt marsh plants, including cordgrass, Spartina in the low marsh was completed in 2011.
- Final inlet channel dredging was completed in September 2011.
- We've completed the fourth year of performance monitoring, which is what we will be talking about today.

### Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Construction:**
  All modules | November 2010 |
  Additional wetland (Grand Ave) | February 2011 |
  Re-grading of W2/W3 | March 2014 |
| **Planting:** | November 2008, 2009, 2011 |
| **Final inlet dredging** | September 2011 |
| Maintenance inlet dredging | November 2015 |
• This slide shows a satellite view of the project site before excavation and grading.
• You can see the San Dieguito River and adjoining ruderal upland, including the site of an old WWII airfield, and old agricultural fields.
• You can also see a portion of a basin that was constructed in the 1980’s termed the Fish and Game Basin.
During construction, the ruderal areas and old agricultural fields were excavated and graded to create the planned intertidal and subtidal wetland habitats of the restoration project visible in this image taken the past year.

In addition, you can see nesting and disposal sites.
• Notice also examples of the tidal creek networks located in restoration modules on the west and east side of the freeway.
Following construction, annual monitoring is required to evaluate the physical and biological performance standards provided in the SONGS permit.

Monitoring tracks ecosystem development and identifies adaptive management opportunities pertaining to physical and biological functioning of the wetland.

Independent monitoring is conducted by scientists from UCSB with advice from a Science Advisory Panel.
Update on Status of San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration

- Biological resources
- Adaptive management & on-going management tasks
- Key findings for 2015

San Dieguito Wetlands
March 2016

- Turn to a brief overview of the status of the San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration, including the biological resources, adaptive management and on-going management tasks, and key findings for 2015.
• Taking a look at vegetation, cordgrass is important nesting habitat for the Federally listed endangered Ridgeway’s formerly known as the Light-footed Clapper Rail.
• Cordgrass, about 20,000 plants, was planted widely throughout the restoration site with the latest planting in November 2011.

• This slide shows planting locations, indicated by the yellow crosses in the portion of the wetland on the east side of freeway where most of the planting occurred.
• For the first couple of years following planting, cordgrass performed poorly.
• You can see the distribution and size of cordgrass patches that became established, scattered in the portion of the restoration site on the east side of the freeway in 2013 and the total area of cordgrass, which in 2013 was less than 0.5 acre.
• However, cordgrass establishment has become more promising. This shows the distribution and size of cordgrass patches had expanded in 2014 to encompass about 1.2 acres for the restored site.
The area of cordgrass has continued to expand and encompassed about 2.5 acres for the restored site in 2015.
While the development of vegetation has been promising in some areas, it has under performed in other portions of the restoration site, most noticeably on the west side of the freeway.

In the top photo, taken in March 2013, you can see pickleweed was very sparsely distributed and a crust of salt was evident on the surface of the constructed marsh plain.

Monitoring suggested that high elevation of the marsh plain and poor drainage were the cause of sparse vegetation in this area.

SCE was aware of this problem, and the area on the west side of the freeway was re-graded in March 2014 to lower the elevation of the marsh plain to increase the frequency of inundation, and re-contoured to improve drainage, which should lead to the establishment of vegetation.

This area is now hit by the tides more frequently and you can see in the bottom figure that pickleweed has become established, though still sparsely distributed.
In the fourth year of monitoring, the restored wetland is continuing to provide habitat for a diverse array of invertebrates, fish, and birds, and well as for eelgrass.
• During our surveys in 2015 we recorded 93 species of birds, this compares to 97 species the previous year.
• 4 of the top 5 species in terms of abundance in 2015 were also the most abundant in 2014 and included shorebirds Western and Least Sandpipers and Semipalmated Plover and a duck, the American Wigeon.
Examples of other sampled species that were uncommon in surveys include Bonaparte’s Gull, the Virginia Rail and Sora, and the Federally listed Snowy Plover and State listed Ridgeway Rail.
During our surveys in 2015 we recorded 19 taxa of fish, the same as last year.

Juvenile gobies were by far the most abundant of the fish sampled in the channels, basin, and tidal creeks of San Dieguito Wetlands in both 2014 and 2015.

Gobies are small fish important in food chain support to larger fish and birds. Many of these gobies are so small that it is difficult to identify them to species in the field and thus are placed in the juvenile goby category.

In addition, larvae and juveniles of other species were abundant. These are largely members of the silverside family, which includes topsmelt, jacksmelt and grunion, which are difficult to distinguish when small.

Notably, Spotted Sand Bass recruits were numerous in samples in 2015.
Examples of some other species found in our surveys are shown here and include the Bay Pipefish, related to seahorses, the California Needlefish, two flat fishes, juvenile Diamond Turbot and California Halibut, Bat Ray and Pacific Sardine.
• During our surveys in 2015 we recorded 69 taxa of macroinvertebrates which compares with 45 taxa the previous year.
• This increase was due primarily to an increase in the number of different types of worms.
• Similar to the monitoring results from 2014, small worms were by far the most abundant of the invertebrates sampled in the channels, basin, and tidal creeks of San Dieguito Wetlands in 2015.
• Other forms such as the gammaridean amphipods, small crustaceans, are found on the surface of the mud.
• These small invertebrates are important in the food chain support of larger invertebrates such as crabs, and of fish and birds.
• Examples of some other larger taxa include Egg Cockle and Carpenter’s Tellin, that were uncommon in our samples, and the predatory sea slug Navanax.

• Ghost shrimp are larger infauna, which live in the sediment, and the brown shrimp and swimming crab, are more typically southern species that we picked up in our samples this past year.
Eelgrass, which provides habitat for invertebrates and fish, recruited to the inlet channel and entrance to the W1 basin prior to the final inlet opening in September 2011.

Eelgrass removed for final inlet channel construction was transplanted to W1 in January 2011.

Eelgrass covered ~80% of the bottom in W1 in 2014 and continues to cover most of the bottom in 2015.
• There remain important on-going management tasks associated with ensuring that the restoration project is successful.

• One task concerns inlet maintenance.

• By halting tidal flushing, inlet closure can adversely affect dissolved oxygen concentration in the lagoon and lead to invertebrate and fish kills.

• Even partial blockage of the inlet by sand can affect drainage during low tides, leading to ponding, and the death of cordgrass, which requires good tidal flushing and cannot tolerate continued submergence.

• SCE has an inlet maintenance plan that will keep the inlet open to avoid degradation in water quality, ponding, and loss of biological resources.

• Maintenance dredging of the inlet was completed in November 2015.
Another on-going management task is the control of non-native plants, which are present around the edges of the restoration site.

Some non-native species such as tamarisk tolerate high soil salinity and can move into the restoration site.

SCE has an active weed abatement program to control weeds on the berms and disposal sites.
• There are broader benefits of our monitoring program that extend beyond evaluating whether the restored wetland meets the performance standards provided in the SONGS permit.

• Our monitoring provides information that may help to guide future restoration efforts.

• It has lead to the development and refinement of sampling approaches and taxonomic guides.

• Has is contributing to a better understanding of the natural history and ecology of wetland systems.
To summarize key findings during 2015:

• The restored San Dieguito Wetlands have been colonized by salt marsh vegetation, invertebrates, fish, and eelgrass.

• The acreage of cordgrass continues to increase and marsh vegetation is colonizing previously bare areas although still sparsely distributed in portions of the wetland.

• A large number of bird species continue to use the restored wetland.

• The restoration site is currently providing habitat for endangered and economically important species, such as Belding’s Savannah Sparrow and California Halibut.

• On-going management tasks important to wetland health include inlet maintenance and control of non-native species.

For more information go to: http://marinemitigation.msi.ucsb.edu/